

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,903	11/13/2003	Joseph D. Tobiason	117275	7310
25944 75	590 12/19/2005		EXAM	INER
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			RODRIGUEZ, ARMANDO	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2828	

DATE MAILED: 12/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		AX			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/705,903	TOBIASON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ARMANDO RODRIGUEZ	2828			
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MINION OF	AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply nunication. atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANI	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on				
	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		•			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the a	• •				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ai	re withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 12-21</u> is/are reject					
7) Claim(s) 11,22 and 23 is/are objecte 8) Claim(s) are subject to restric					
	don and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:					
	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	• •			
_	the correction is required if the drawing(s)	•			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attached O	flice Action of form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim to a) All b) Some * c) None of:	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	I9(a)-(d) or (f).			
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority</li> </ol>	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been received in Appl	lication No			
	of the priority documents have been red	ceived in this National Stage			
	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the certified copies not rec	eived.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Thterview Sum	mary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948) Paper No(s)/M	lail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/29/2005, 2/2/04	PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Infon 6) Other:	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/705,903

Art Unit: 2828

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-10, 12-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zorabedian (US 6,108,355) in view of Katagiri et al (US 6,157,025).

Regarding claims 1, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17 and 18,

Zorabedian illustrates in figure 1A an external cavity laser having a laser (102), a reflector (122), a lens system (164), a quarter wave plates/optical retarders (112-114) [applicant's isolation element] an etalon filter (162) [applicant's transmission filter] including a wedge shaped corrective element (120) [applicant's compensation prism], column 4 lines 8-10, discloses tuning of the cavity by translation of the wedge shaped

filter and in column 4 lines 59-63, discloses cascading the corrective element with the filter to vary the cavity length in synchronism with the filtered wavelength. Column 3 lines 44-46 discloses the etalon as a wedge air gap.

Zorabedian does disclose translating the wedge shaped filter but is silent as to the rotation of the filter.

Katagiri et al illustrates in figure 2 a tunable optical filter (15) including a substrate (14) coupled to a rotation mechanism (13) [applicant's rotary motor] for rotation of the filter.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the rotation mechanism of Katagiri et al with the wedge filter system of Zorabedian because it will provide a high speed wavelength discrimination in a optical communication system, column 1 lines 6-9 of Katagiri et al.

Regarding claims 2 and 3,

Zorabedian does disclose varying linearly the wavelength of the filter, column 4 lines 8-16 and the thickness of the corrective element, as illustrated in figure 1A.

Regarding claim 4,

Zorabedian does not disclose the filter having a wavelength cycles around a track.

Katagiri et al illustrates in figure 7 the optical filter including a track control concentric with the axis of rotation.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the rotating filter of Katagiri et al with the laser

Application/Control Number: 10/705,903

Art Unit: 2828

system of Zorabedian because it will provide a high speed wavelength discrimination in a optical communication system, column 1 lines 6-9 of Katagiri et al.

Regarding claims 5 and 8,

Zorabedian does disclose a corrective element with varying thickness but is silent as to rotation of the filter for providing of thickness cycles.

Katagiri et al illustrates in figure 2 a tunable optical filter (15) including a substrate (14) coupled to a rotation mechanism (13) for rotation of the filter.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the rotation mechanism of Katagiri et al with the wedge filter system of Zorabedian because it will provide thickness cycles and high speed wavelength discrimination in a optical communication system, column 1 lines 6-9 of Katagiri et al.

Regarding claims 6 and 16,

Zorabedian discloses in column 4 lines 23-25 the tuner (160) having wavelength feedback [applicant's trigger feature].

Regarding claim 7,

Zorabedian does not disclose the filter having multiple transmission wavelength cycles.

Katagiri et al illustrates in figure 7 the optical filter including first and second filter layers (15A) and (15B) parallel to each other.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the rotating filter of Katagiri et al with the laser

Art Unit: 2828

system of Zorabedian because it will provide a high speed wavelength discrimination in a optical communication system, column 1 lines 6-9 of Katagiri et al.

Regarding claims 19-21,

Zorabedian does disclose preventing mode hopping, see column 4 lines 38-46.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 11, 22 and 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ARMANDO RODRIGUEZ whose telephone number is 571-272-1952. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MINSUN HARVEY can be reached on 571-272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,903 Page 6

Art Unit: 2828

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ÁRMÁNDO RODRÍGUEZ

Examiner Art Unit 2828

AR